

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/963,316	09/25/2001	Toshio Imai	TOYAM77.001AUS	1352	
7.	590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART			VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P		
PATENT DEP. EXCHANGE I			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
53 STATE STREET			1644		
BOSTON, MA 02109			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/963,316	IMAI ET AL.	IMAI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
THE MAILING DATE OF Th - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extension	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period w nded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	"IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON" 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND date of this communication, even if timely	be timely filed days will be considered time from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commi	unication(s) filed on <u>6 25 (</u>	<u>)4</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	n(s) <u>1-8,12-15 and 17-20</u> i allowed. is/are rejected. objected to.	s/are withdrawn from conside	eration.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is obj	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies3. Copies of the ceapplication from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the priorit the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applic y documents have been rece	eation No sived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)		_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Di 		4)					
Notice of Draitsperson's Fateric Draitsp		_	al Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,316

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-8 and 12-14 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the Paper filed December 1, 2003.

Claims 15 and 17-20 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the Paper filed December 1, 2003.

Accordingly, claims 9-11 and 16 are the subject of examination in the present Office Action.

In view of Applicant's amendment filed June 25, 2004, the following ground of rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9-11 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,013,257 to Pan et al (A on form PTO-892) as evidenced by Hoover et al. (J. Biol. Chem. [2000] 275(30):23187-23193; U on form PTO-892).

It was previously stated: "The claims are drawn to the treatment of an autoimmune disease, specifically multiple sclerosis, using an antibody specific for the CX3C chemokine "fractalkine." It is noted that the protein named herein as "fractalkine" is also commonly known in the art as "neurotactin," as evidenced by Hoover (Abstract in particular). The '257 patent teaches and claims the treatment of multiple sclerosis in a patient with antibodies to human neurotactin, the sequence of which is disclosed in the '257 patent as SEQ ID NO: 4 (column 2, lines 21-31; column 34, line 16 through column 35, line 14 and claims 1-4 in particular). The prior art teaching clearly anticipates the claimed invention."

Applicant's arguments filed June 25, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended the base claim to recite that the antibody is "monoclonal," arguing that the '257

Application/Control Number: 09/963,316

Art Unit: 1644

patent does not teach treatment with a monoclonal antibody that inhibits the binding of the CX3C chemokine fractalkine (neurotactin) to CX3CR1.

As a first matter, the '257 patent teaches the making and use (column 16, line 62 through column 17, line 59; column 5, line 64 through column 6, line 18; column 7, lines 1-4 and claim 4 in particular) of monoclonal antibodies to the full length of fractalkine as well as to fragments thereof. Applicant alleges that the '257 patent teaches only polyclonal antibodies that are reactive with an N-terminal fragment of fractalkine and that these antibodies are cross reactive with CD84, pointing specifically to column 32, lines 32-36 of the '257 patent for support of this position. However, the passage to which Applicant refers was part of an example localizing fractalkine expression in the brain, not treatment of an inflammatory condition. It is noted that Example 7 of the '257 patent (column 34, lines 16-59) exemplifies the use of antibodies raised to the full extra-cellular domain of fractalkine for the inhibition of an animal model of multiple sclerosis (EAE). Furthermore, the '257 patent specifically recites the preferred use of monoclonal antibodies (column 7, lines 1-4 in particular) that do not cross-react with other proteins naturally in the presence of fractalkine (column 6, lines 5-9 in particular). The '257 patent teaches that antibodies to fractalkine are included within the scope of fractalkine antagonists (column 6, lines 11-14 in particular) and therapeutic use of anti-fractalkine antibodies as fractalkine inhibitors (column 7, lines 13-17 in particular) and that inflammation associated with multiple sclerosis can be treated with inhibitors of fractalkine function (column 34, line 60 through column 35, line 14 in particular). Interaction of fractalkine with CX3CR1 is a function of fractalkine and is therefore encompassed by the teachings of the '257 patent.

Conclusion

- 3. No claim is allowed.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/963,316

Art Unit: 1644

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-4:00; Alternate Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner February 17, 2004 PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9/29/04